

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 09/912,640 | 07/24/2001 | Richard T. Foye | Mult.28 A | 7233 |
| 7. | 590 09/21/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| John H. Lynn | | | TRAN, THANH Y | |
| Suite F200 2915 Redhill A | venue | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Costa Mesa, CA 92626 | | | 2822 | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED: 00/21/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| N. C. CALL | 09/912,640 | FOYE, RICHARD T. | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Thanh Y. Tran | 2822 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a | | | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | • | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Of (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of time of the content of the con | of Mailing or Transmission dated | _), which is after the expiration of the | | | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it do | es not constitute a proper reply under | 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely final Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3 | iled Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) | | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, v), which is after the expiration of the statutory Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A bala | nce of \$ is due. | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has | not been received. | - | | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as re Allowability (PTO-37). | equired by, and within the three-month | period set in, the Notice of | | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | | |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants. | the attorney or agent of record, the as | ssignee of the entire interest, or all of | | | |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | an attorney or agent (acting in a repre | esentative capacity under 37 CFR | | | |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Inter of the decision has expired and there are no allowed control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed control of the decision has expired and the decision of the decision has expired and the decision of the decision has expired and the decision has expired and the decision of the decision of the decision has expired and the decision of the deci | | use the period for seeking court review | | | |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | / | , | | | |
| The abandonment was confirmed with Applicant | on 8/29/04. | Z MIR ZARABIAN | | | |
| | | DRY PATENT EXAMINER DLOGY CENTER 2800 | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | |
| PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) Notice | e of Abandonment | Part of Paper No. 20040915 | | | |